

Technical Report ARAEW-TR-08008

RAVEN TANK MAIN ARMAMENT DEMONSTRATOR

**Eric Kathe
Kevin Miner
Robert Dillon**

May 2008



ARMAMENT RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT AND ENGINEERING CENTER
Armaments Engineering & Technology Center
Weapon Systems & Technology
Benét Laboratories



Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy, or decision, unless so designated by other documentation.

The citation in this report of the names of commercial firms or commercially available products or services does not constitute official endorsement by or approval of the U.S. Government.

Destroy this report when no longer needed by any method that will prevent disclosure of its contents or reconstruction of the document. Do not return to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					<i>Form Approved</i> <i>OMB No. 0704-0188</i>	
<p>The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</p>						
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) xx-05-2008		2. REPORT TYPE Final			3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 2008	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE RAVEN TANK MAIN ARMAMENT DEMONSTRATOR				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) Kathe, Eric ; Miner, Kevin ; Dillon, Robert				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army ARDEC, AETC Benét Laboratories, AMSRD-AAR-AEW, B. 40 1 Buffington Street Watervliet Arsenal, NY 12189-4000					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARA EW-TR-08008	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army ARDEC, AETC Benét Laboratories, AMSRD-AAR-AEW, B. 40 1 Buffington Street Watervliet Arsenal, NY 12189-4000					10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT <p>RArefaction waVe guN (RAVEN) propulsion is a widely acclaimed method to impart maximum lethality into a projectile while endowing the launcher with the least recoil momentum and thermal heating. It was originally conceived in 1999 to meet the ambitious lethality and strategic deployability objectives of the future combat systems (FCS) to drive off a C130 transport ready for combat. RAVEN was removed from consideration to meet FCS lethality requirements due to the immaturity of the technology. This paper presents the experimental results of a brass-board tank main armament demonstration system based upon RAVEN propulsion. This technology profoundly alters the system integration options for future main armament.</p>						
15. SUBJECT TERMS Gun Propulsion, Gun Recoil						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT U	18. NUMBER OF PAGES 6	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON	
a. REPORT U/U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING SF 298

1. REPORT DATE. Full publication date, including day, month, if available. Must cite at least the year and be Year 2000 compliant, e.g. 30-06-1998; xx-06-1998; xx-xx-1998.

2. REPORT TYPE. State the type of report, such as final, technical, interim, memorandum, master's thesis, progress, quarterly, research, special, group study, etc.

3. DATES COVERED. Indicate the time during which the work was performed and the report was written, e.g., Jun 1997 - Jun 1998; 1-10 Jun 1996; May - Nov 1998; Nov 1998.

4. TITLE. Enter title and subtitle with volume number and part number, if applicable. On classified documents, enter the title classification in parentheses.

5a. CONTRACT NUMBER. Enter all contract numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. F33615-86-C-5169.

5b. GRANT NUMBER. Enter all grant numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. AFOSR-82-1234.

5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER. Enter all program element numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 61101A.

5d. PROJECT NUMBER. Enter all project numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 1F665702D1257; ILIR.

5e. TASK NUMBER. Enter all task numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 05; RF0330201; T4112.

5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER. Enter all work unit numbers as they appear in the report, e.g. 001; AFAPL30480105.

6. AUTHOR(S). Enter name(s) of person(s) responsible for writing the report, performing the research, or credited with the content of the report. The form of entry is the last name, first name, middle initial, and additional qualifiers separated by commas, e.g. Smith, Richard, J, Jr.

7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Self-explanatory.

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER. Enter all unique alphanumeric report numbers assigned by the performing organization, e.g. BRL-1234; AFWL-TR-85-4017-Vol-21-PT-2.

9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES). Enter the name and address of the organization(s) financially responsible for and monitoring the work.

10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S). Enter, if available, e.g. BRL, ARDEC, NADC.

11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S). Enter report number as assigned by the sponsoring/monitoring agency, if available, e.g. BRL-TR-829; -215.

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT. Use agency-mandated availability statements to indicate the public availability or distribution limitations of the report. If additional limitations/ restrictions or special markings are indicated, follow agency authorization procedures, e.g. RD/FRD, PROPIN, ITAR, etc. Include copyright information.

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES. Enter information not included elsewhere such as: prepared in cooperation with; translation of; report supersedes; old edition number, etc.

14. ABSTRACT. A brief (approximately 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information.

15. SUBJECT TERMS. Key words or phrases identifying major concepts in the report.

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION. Enter security classification in accordance with security classification regulations, e.g. U, C, S, etc. If this form contains classified information, stamp classification level on the top and bottom of this page.

17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT. This block must be completed to assign a distribution limitation to the abstract. Enter UU (Unclassified Unlimited) or SAR (Same as Report). An entry in this block is necessary if the abstract is to be limited.

RAVEN TANK MAIN ARMAMENT DEMONSTRATOR

Eric Kathe, Kevin Miner, and Robert Dillon
US Army, ARDEC Weapons and Software Engineering Center
Watervliet Arsenal, NY

INTRODUCTION

RAREfaction waVe guN (RAVEN) propulsion is a widely acclaimed method to impart maximum lethality into a projectile while endowing the launcher with the least recoil momentum and thermal heating. It was originally conceived in 1999 to meet the ambitious lethality and strategic deployability objectives of the future combat systems (FCS) to drive off a C130 transport ready for combat¹. RAVEN was removed from consideration to meet FCS lethality requirements due to the immaturity of the technology. This paper presents the experimental results of a bread-board tank main armament demonstration system based upon RAVEN propulsion. This technology profoundly alters the system integration options for future main armament.

BACKGROUND

The weapon operates on what is dubbed the rarefaction wave gun principle. In such a gun the breech is intentionally opened while the projectile is still traveling down the barrel. That, of course, causes a dramatic drop in chamber pressure and leads to a rarefaction wave moving up the barrel as pressure rapidly bleeds off through the open breech. The operative principle here is that the speed this rarefaction wave is limited to the speed of sound within the propellant gas. The propulsion of the bullet can only be compromised after the bullet 'hears' the venting.

The implication is that if the bullet leaves the muzzle, as the rarefaction wave reaches it, the muzzle velocity will not be compromised. This concurrence of events is considered to reflect synchronized timing. Venting later will never slow the bullet. Venting earlier will progressively slow the bullet more as recoil is further reduced or eliminated.

Synchronized RAVEN operation expels nominally two thirds of the propellant gas rearward through a rocket nozzle at the breech. This generates substantial forward thrust to mitigate the recoil imparted by the projectile. It also removes hot high-pressure propellant gases from the bore before the bullet has even left the gun. This reduces barrel heating and may profoundly reduce bore erosion relative to traditional closed-breech operation.

RAVEN was first experimentally verified using a bread-board 35mm launcher. Recoil energy was reduced by nearly two thirds with no loss in muzzle velocity due to venting². These tests have provided experimental validation for the development of a one dimensional interior ballistic code for RAVEN³.

105MM RAVEN DEMONSTRATOR

Following the successful trials in 35mm, a successful large caliber RAVEN was engineered using design and hardware assets remaining from the 105mm Multi-Role Armament and Ammunition System (MRAAS) program⁴. MRAAS incorporated a novel swing chamber and smooth-bore cased telescoped ammunition—with a larger chamber than the fielded 105mm M68 tank gun. This configuration provided 120mm tank gun lethality from an armament system that lent itself to compact combat system integration. The swing chamber approach also affords a straightforward munitions handling method to accommodate RAVEN's rearward facing rocket nozzle. The demonstrator is shown below with the chamber rotated open and mounted to an instrumented hardstand as it is readied for firing.



Table 1: Preliminary Experimental Results.

Projectile Mass	8.311	Kg
Charge Mass	6.289	Kg
Muzzle Velocity	1,156	m/s
Projectile Momentum	9,608	N*s
Measured Momentum	1,695	N*s

To date, four shots have been fired at reduced charge to verify system operation. Full pressure shots are to commence later in May 2008 and are anticipated to be reported at the conference. Preliminary test results from the third shot, fired on 1 May 2008 are listed in Table 1. This test constituted pre-synchronous vent timing. The early venting reduced muzzle velocity and increased momentum reduction relative to synchronous timing.

¹ E. Kathe and R. Dillon, Sonic Rarefaction Wave Low Recoil Gun, AIAA-01-0743, 39th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno, NV, January 2001.

² E. Kathe et al, Experimental Validation of Raven: A New Species of Gun for the Objective Force, JANNAF 38th Combustion Subcommittee Meeting, Destin, Florida, 8-12 April 2002.

³ Terence Coffee, Modeling of the 35-mm Rarefaction Wave Gun, JANNAF 44th Combustion Subcommittee Meeting, San Diego, CA, December 4-7, 2006.

⁴ Leland Ness, Watervliet Arsenal Modifies MRAAS in Order to Support RAVEN Low-Recoil Gun, Jane's International Defence Review, 01 July 2005.